

RESEARCH ARTICLE - MEDICAL TECHNIQUES

Influence of Different Disinfectants on Surface Hardness of Heat-Polymerized Acrylic Resins utilized for Orthodontic Appliance

Wael Abdul Alrazzaq^{1*}, Mohammed Abdul Sattar¹

¹Prosthetic Dentistry Department, College of Health & Medical Technology, Baghdad, Middle Technical University, Baghdad, Iraq.

* Corresponding author E-mail: <u>alwaeliwael@mtu.edu.iq</u>

| Article Info. | Abstract |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Article history: | Heat-polymerized resins are common substances utilized for construction of removable dental prostheses (i.e. Orthodontic appliances). Such materials should have appropriate physical properties. The use of disinfectant solutions might influence the physical characteristics of the acrylic materials. This study was conducted to assess the influence of different |
| Received 17 January 2021 | disinfectants on heat polymerized resins in terms of surface hardness. Forty specimens were made from heat-polymerized acrylic resins in total. The investigation comprised 4 groups according to the disinfectants utilized and each group had ten specimens. The 1 st group was immersed in distilled water (control); the 2 nd group was disinfected in Efferdent; the 3 rd |
| Accepted 02 March 2021 | group was disinfected in 4 % Chlorhexidine; and the 4 th group was disinfected in 1% hypochlorite. All specimens were tested via a hardness tester three times and the average reading was measured for all specimens. The statistical results indicated a slight decline in the mean values of surface hardness of acrylic specimens following immersion in disinfectants. |
| Publishing 31 March 2021 | The greatest value of mean was for distilled water specimens whereas the lowest value of mean was for 4% Chlorhexidine specimens. Furthermore, no significant differences were found among all groups (P >0.05). The study concluded that the use of disinfectants solutions slightly decreases the hardness of heat polymerized resins. It is recommended to evaluate the chemical interaction between the acrylic resins and disinfectants. |

2019 Middle Technical University. All rights reserved

Keywords: different disinfectants; surface hardness; acrylic resin.

1. Introduction

In removable prosthodontics, heat-polymerized acrylic resins are materials widely utilized for the construction of removable appliances because of their appropriate properties such as excellent aesthetic properties, easy of repair and manipulation, reasonable in price, etc. [1,2]. Orthodontic appliances are part of orthodontic treatment and utilized for short or long terms to move or retain the teeth [3,4]. Such appliances are considered as a foundation of cross contamination between the dental staff and patients. During their use, they can be colonized and infected by microorganisms, which may spread either via direct contact or through the aerosol produced during finishing and polishing procedures [5,6]. Microorganisms can result in a variety of illnesses (i.e. Hepatitis B, Herpes, Tuberculosis and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome). The appliances must be, therefore, disinfected before used by the patients [7,8]. The goal of soaking a denture in disinfectants is to disable the action of viruses, bacteria, and fungi [9]. The common chemical disinfectants for dental appliances include glutaraldehyde, sodium hypochlorite, and chlorine dioxide [10,11,12]. The disinfectant solutions could diminish the amount of microorganisms on the surface of the dental prosthesis [13,14]. The disinfectants has had an adverse effect on acrylic properties such as flexural strength, surface roughness, surface hardness [16-19]. Hence, this research was undertaken to assess the effect of using different disinfectant solutions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples grouping

In the current research, 40 specimens of the acrylic resins (Veracril, New Stetic S.A. -Colombia) were prepared in total according to different disinfectants used. There were four sets; the first set was the distilled water (control); the second set was disinfected in Efferdent (Pfizer

Consumer Health, USA). The third group was disinfected in 4 % Chlorhexidine (Manipulation Pharmacy, Apothicario, Brazil). The fourth group was disinfected in 1% hypochlorite (Manipulation Pharmacy, Apothicario, Brazil).

2.2. Fabrication of acrylic specimens

For hardness test, plastic patterns (65 mm length, 10 mm width and 3mm thickness) were used to make the acrylic specimens [20]. The procedure comprised the lubrication of 2 halves of the flask with Vaseline(China) to remove the acrylic specimens easily from the mould after deflasking. According to the instructions provided by the manufacturers, Zhermack dental stone (Italy) and water are mixed and then poured into the lower part of the flask after receiving a creamy state. Plastic strips were then carefully placed in the middle as shown in the Fig 1.



Fig. 1. Stone mold and plastic patterns

After complete set of stone surface, the patterns and stone surface were coated with the separating medium (Zinnfoile, Dentaurum Pforzheim, Germany). The upper part was placed into its correct situation. Another mix of water and dental stone was then prepared and poured over the patterns and stone surface and left for 1 hour to set. Afterward, the 2 halves were opened carefully; and patterns taken away, and cleaned with detergents. The separating medium (tinfoil, Zinnfoile,Germany) was then applied and left to dry (Fig. 2).





The acrylic specimens were made via mixing the acrylic powder and monomer liquid with a ratio of 3:1. At dough stage, the acrylic was packed into mould, and then cured according to guidelines supplied by manufacturers. Subsequently, the mould was taken away from the waterbath machine, and left to cool. After that, acrylic specimens were taken away from stone mould, finished and polished via traditional method. All specimens were kept in saline solution with a temperature at 37 C in order to hydrate them [21]. All specimens were then disinfected in different solutions for 60 days. Chlorhexidine and hypochlorite specimens were daily disinfected using a manual friction using a gauze for 60 seconds. Then the specimens were rinsed in running water for thirty seconds [22]. The Efferdent specimens were washed 3 times a week where the cleaning included the immersion of the specimens into distilled water solutions having an effervescing tablet at a temperature of 37°C for fifteen minutes, then rinsed in running water for thirty seconds [23].

2.3. hardness test

The hardness tester (Shore D, Italy) was utilized to test the acrylic specimens. The surface of each specimen was exposed to a load of fifty grams for 10 seconds 3 times (left, middle, right). Then, the average reading was recorded (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. Specimen under hardness tester

3. Results

The specimens were analyzed statistically via SPSS v .20. The results indicated a slight fall in the mean values of surface hardness of the acrylic specimens after soaking in different disinfectants as demonstrated in Table (1). More specifically, 4% Chlorhexidine specimens had a lower mean value of surface hardness compared to the other groups. Whereas distilled water specimens had the highest mean value of surface hardness. Furthermore, no significant differences were seen among all groups where P>0.05 as illustrated in Tables 2 &3.

| Table 1. groups N | | | Mean | Standard deviation | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|------|--|
| Distilled water | | | 100.67 | | 1.51 | |
| Efferdent | | | 99.56 | | 1.51 | |
| 1% Hypochlorite | 10 | | 99.40 | | 1.50 | |
| 4% Chlorhexidine | 10 | | 99.19 | | 1.51 | |
| | 10 | | | | | |
| | Table 2 | . Tukey compa | rison test | | | |
| Groups | | P value | | sig | | |
| Distilled water - Efferdent | | .374 | | Non-significant | : | |
| Distilled water – 1% Hypochlorite | | .258 | | Non-significant | | |
| Distilled water -4% chlorhexidine | | .147 | | Non-significant | | |
| Efferdent- 1% Hypochlorite | | .995 | | Non-significant | | |
| Efferdent- 4% chlorhexidine | | .946 | | Non-significant | | |
| 1%Hypochlorite- 4%chlorhexidine | | .989 | | Non-significant | | |
| | Table 3. O | ne-way ANOV | A test results | | | |
| | | ANOVA | | | | |
| hardness | | | | | | |
| | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. | |
| Between Groups | 13.022 | 3 | 4.341 | 1.899 | .147 | |
| Within Groups | 82.301 | 36 | 2.286 | | | |
| Total | 95.323 | 39 | | | | |

4. Discussion

In dentistry, heat-polymerized acrylic resins are frequently utilized for construction of the orthodontic appliances. Theses appliances could be contaminated by microorganisms, which could be transmitted from patients to dental staff. Therefore, infection control procedure is recommended for cross infection at the clinic. The orthodontic appliances should be disinfected properly since they are potential sources of transmitting the microorganisms. The use of disinfectants might affect the properties (i.e. physical and mechanical properties) of acrylic resins. Hence, the objective of this study was to assess the impact of different disinfectants on the hardness of acrylic resins which utilized for orthodontic appliances. In the current study, there were 4 groups according to disinfectants used (control, Efferdent, chlorhexidine and hypochlorite). The results indicated that there was a slight decline in the surface hardness following immersion the acrylic specimens in disinfectants solutions. The current results were supported by Neppelenbroek *et al.*, [19], Moreno *et al.*, [24] and Carvalho *et al.*, [25]. They revealed that the disinfectants performed as plasticizers in acrylic resin which would decrease the acrylic resins surface hardness.

5. Conclusion

The research was conducted to assess the influence of different disinfectants on heat-polymerized acrylic reins in terms of surface hardness. It is concluded that the disinfectants reduce slightly the acrylic resins surface hardness. Further investigation is recommended to assess the impact of different disinfectants on acrylic resins in terms of surface roughness, impact strength and color stability.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Mr. Muntasar, the head of the Material Lab, University of technology for his help.

References

[1] RL. Sakaguchi, JM Powers, "Craig's restorative dental materials" .US Mosby, pp. 163-176, 192-94, 2012.

[2] G. Zarb, J. Hobkirk, S. Eckert, R. Jacob, "Prosthodontic Treatment for Edentulous Patients: Complete Dentures and Implant-Supported Prostheses". 13th ed. US: Mosby; pp:135, 2013

[3] M. Saleh, MY. Hajeer, D. Muessig, "Acceptability Comparison between Hawley Retainers and Vacuum- Formed Retainers in Orthodontic Adult Patients: A

Single- Centre, Randomized Controlled Trial". Eur J Orthod, Vol.39, No.4, pp. 453-46, Aug. 2017.

[4] SJ. Littlewood, DT. Millett, B. Doubleday, DR. Bearn, HV. Worthington "Retention Procedures for Stabilising Tooth Position after Treatment with

Orthodontic Braces". Cochrane Database Syst Rev, Vol.29, No.1, pp: CD002283, Jan 2016.

[5] IA. Orsi, VG. Andrade, "Effect of chemical disinfectants on the transverse strength of heat-polymerized acrylic resins submitted to mechanical and chemical polishing". J Prosthet Dent, Vol. 92, No. 1, pp: 382-388, Oct 2004.

[6] AC. Salvia, Fdos S. Matilde, FC. Rosa, ET. Kimpara, AO. Jorge, I. Balducci, "Disinfection protocols to prevent cross contamination between dental offices and prosthetic laboratories". J Infect Public Health, Vol.6, No.5, pp:377-82, Oct 2013.

 [7] GL. Polyzois, AJ. Zissis, SA. Yannikakis, "The effect of glutaraldehyde and microwave disinfection on some properties of acrylic denture resin". Int J Prosthodont, Vol.8, No.2, pp:150-4, Mar-Apr1995.

[8] Infection control recommendations for the dental office and the dental laboratory. ADA Council on Scientific Affairs and ADA Council on Dental Practice.
J Am Dent Assoc. 1996 May;127(5):672-80. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8642147/

[9] S. Sharan, H R Kavitha, Harish Konde, D Kalahasti, "Effect of chemical disinfectant on the transverse strength of heat-polymerized acrylic resins subjected to mechanical and chemical polishing: an in vitro study". J Contemp Dent Pract., Vol.13, No.3, pp.:389-93, May2012.

[10] NH. Campanha, AC. Pavarina, CE. Vergani, AL Machado, "Effect of microwave sterilization and water storage on the Vickers hardness of acrylic resin denture teeth". J Prosthet Dent, Vol.93, No.5, pp:483-7, May 2005.

 [11] S. Pavan, JN Arioli, PH Dos Santos, F Assis. Mollo, "Effect of microwave treatments on dimensional accuracy of maxillary acrylic resin denture base", Braz Dent J, Vol.16, No.2, pp:119-23, May/Aug 2005.

[12] AC. Pavarina, AC. Pizzolitto, AL. Machado, CE. Vergani, ET. Giampaolo, "An infection control protocol: effectiveness of immersion solutions to reduce the microbial growth on dental prostheses". J Oral Rehabil. 2003 May;30(5):532-6.

[13] AC. Pavarina, CE. Vergani, AL. Machado, ET. Giampaolo, MT. Teraoka," Effects of disinfectants solutions on the hardness of acrylic resin denture teeth".J Oral Rehabil, Vol.30, No. 1, pp: 749-752, Jul 2003.

[14] AC. Pavarina, AL. Machado, ET. Giampaolo, CE. Vergani, "Effects of chemical disinfectants on the transverse strength of denture base acrylic resins". J Oral Rehabil, Vol.30, No.11, pp:30:1085-9, Nov 2003.

[15] J. de Ol. Zoccolotti, C. O. Tasso, M. I. A. Arbeláez, I. F. Malavolta, E. C. da S. Pereira, C. S. G. Esteves, J. H. Jorge, "Properties of an Acrylic Resin after Immersion in Antiseptic Soaps: Low-Cost, Easy-Access Procedure for the Prevention of Denture Stomatitis", PLoS ONE 2018, Vol.13, No. 8, Aug 2018.

[16] O. Savabi, K. Attar, F. Nejatidanesh, H. Goroohi, H. Badrian, "Effect of different chemical disinfectants on the flexural strength of heat-polymerized acrylic resins", Eur J Prosthodont Restor Dent, Vol. 21, No.3;pp:105-8, Sep 2013.

[17] AL. MACHADO, ET. GIAMPAOLO, CE. Vergani, JH. JORGE," Changes in roughness of denture base and reline materials by chemical disinfection or microwave irradiation: surface roughness of denture base and reline materials". J Appl Oral Sci, Vol.19, No.5, pp:521-528, Sep-Oct 2011.

[18] M. Goiato, DM dos. Santos, A. Moreno, MG. Iyda, MC. Rezende, MF Haddad, "Effect of disinfection and storage on the flexural strength of ocular prosthetic acrylic resins". Gerodontology, Vol.29, No.2, pp: e838-844, Jun 2012.

[19] KH. Neppelenbroek, AC. Pavarina, CE. Vergani, ET. Giampaolo," Hardness of heat-polymerized acrylic resins after disinfection and long-term water immersion". J Prosthet Dent, Vol.93, No.2, pp:171-176, Feb 2005.

[20] American national standers institute/ American dental association specification No.12 for denture base polymer. 10th Ed.; Chicago: council on dental material and devices, 1999.

[21] AÚ. Fernandes, A. Portugal, LR. Veloso, MC. Goiato, DM dos. Santos," Assessment of the flexural strength of two heat-curing acrylic resins for artificial eyes". Braz Oral Res, Vol.23, No.3, pp: 263–267, Jul-Sep 2009.

[22] MC. Goiato, DM dos. Santos, H. Gennari-Filho, AC. Zavanelli, SF. Dekon, DN. Mancuso," Influence of investment, disinfection, and storage on the microhardness of ocular resins, J Prosthodont, Vol.18, No.1 pp:32–35, Jan 2009.

[23] MC. Goiato, MF. Haddad, DM dos. Santos, AA. Pesqueira, A. Moreno, "Hardness evaluation of prosthetic silicones containing opacifiers following chemical disinfection and accelerated aging". Braz Oral Res, Vol. 10, No.3, pp: 303-8, July-Sep 2010.

[24] A. Moreno, M. Goiato, D. Santos, M. Haddad, A. Pesqueira, L. Bannwart, "Effect of different disinfectants on the microhardness and roughness of acrylic resins for ocular prosthesis". Gerodontology, Vol.30, No.1, pp: 32-9, Mar 2013.

[25] CF. Carvalho, AD. Vanderlei, SM. Marocho, SM. Pereira, L. Nogueira, TJ Paes-Júnior, "Effect of disinfectant solutions on a denture base acrylic resin". Acta Odontol Latinoam, Vol. 25, No.3, pp: 255-60, April 2012.